

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,871	10/01/2004	Shahram Mihan	259120US0PCT 4965		
22850 75	590 08/09/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN MC	CCLELLAND	BULLOCK, IN SUK C			
OBLON, SPIV 1940 DUKE ST	AK, MCCLELLAND, MA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1764		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/509,87	1	MIHAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	_	In Suk Bull		1764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi- eply received by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. Itory period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this community D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 October 2004</u>	<u>!</u> .					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti	withdrawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal	Date	⁻ O-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2///</u> 05		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/509,871

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0537609 A2 (hereinafter "EP").

The EP reference discloses a process for oligomerizing ethylene using a catalyst comprising an aluminoxane (i.e., methylaluminoxane) and a chromium complex containing a coordinating polydentate ligand such as a 1,4,7-triazacyclononane derivative (page 2, lines 1-4 and lines 34-56). The chromium complex and aluminoxane are combined in proportions to provide Al/Cr molar ratios of from 1:1 to 10,000 to 1 (page 4, lines 1-2).

The EP reference fails to disclose that at least part of the amount of the transition metal complex is added continuously or in portions during the oligomerization.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the EP reference by continuously adding an additional amount of catalyst to the oligomerization process to maintain catalytic activity in light of the EP reference disclosing the effective amount of Al/Cr molar ratios and a minimum amount of chromium required to catalyze the reaction (see page 4, lines 1-5).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to In Suk Bullock whose telephone number is 571-272-5954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,871 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

I.B. Suk Bullock

Glenn Caldamb

Glenn Cattarola
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700